1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	
8	RICHARD DENSON,
9	Petitioner, 2:15-cv-01473-APG-PAL
10	vs. ORDER
11	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,
12	Respondents.
13	/
14	
15	In this habeas corpus action, brought by Nevada prisoner Richard Denson, the respondents
16	were to file an answer or other response to Denson's habeas petition by April 20, 2016. See Order
17	entered January 20, 2016 (ECF No. 12) (90 days for answer or other response). On April 22, 2016,
18	the court extended that deadline by 61 days, to June 20, 2016. See Order entered April 22, 2016
19	(ECF No. 15).
20	On June 9, 2016, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 16), requesting a
21	second extension of time, this one 60 days, to Friday, August 19, 2016. Respondents' counsel states
22	that the extension of time is necessary because she missed work for medical reasons, and because of
23	her obligations in other cases. The court finds that respondents' motion for an extension of time is
24	made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for the requested
25	extension of time. The court will grant the second extension of time as requested. However, in view
26	

1	of the time respondents will have had to file their response to the habeas petition, the court will not
2	look favorably upon any further motion to extend this deadline.
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' Motion for Extension of Time (ECF
4	No. 16) is GRANTED . Respondents shall have until and including August 19, 2016 , to answer or
5	otherwise respond to the petition for writ of habeas corpus in this case (ECF No. 4).
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
7	proceedings set forth in the order entered January 20, 2016 (ECF No. 12) shall remain in effect.
8	Dated this 10 th day of June, 2016.
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2