

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 JOSHUA D. FLUSHMAN, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)


Case No. 2:15-cv-01531-JAD-NJK
ORDER
(Docket No. 17)

Pending before the Court is the parties' joint proposed discovery plan (which the parties mislabeled as a Case Management Report). Docket No. 17. If a proposed discovery plan sets deadlines longer than those specified in Local Rule 26-1(e), then the plan must provide a statement of reasons why longer periods should apply in that case. Local Rule 26-1(d). Here, the parties' proposed discovery plan sets deadlines outside the periods specified in Local Rule 26-1(e), but fails to provide a statement of reasons explaining why longer time periods are warranted.

Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. The parties shall file a new joint proposed discovery plan that complies in full with Local Rule 26-1 no later than March 10, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 9, 2016.



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge