

1 CLARK HILL PLLC
 2 PAOLA M. ARMENI
 Nevada Bar No. 8357
 Email: parmeni@clarkhill.com
 3 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500
 GIA N. MARINA
 4 Nevada Bar No. 15276
 Email: gmarina@clarkhill.com
 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 6 Tel: (702) 862-8300
 Fax: (702) 862-8400
 7 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Perez Family*

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 VICTOR PEREZ, as Special Administrator of
 the Estate of CARLOS PEREZ, deceased;
 11 VICTOR PEREZ, as the Guardia Ad Litem for
 S.E.P., a minor; VICTOR PEREZ, as the Guardia
 12 Ad Litem for A.I.P., a minor,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 STATE OF NEVADA, ex.rel. NEVADA
 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS;
 16 DIRECTOR GREG COX, individually;
 WARDEN DWIGHT NEVEN, individually;
 17 ASSISTANT WARDEN TIMOTHY FILSON,
 individually; COT. RAMOS, individually;
 18 LIEUTENANT OLIVER, individually;
 CORRECTIONS OFFICER CASTRO,
 19 individually; CORRECTIONS OFFICER
 SMITH, individually; and DOES I-X, inclusive;
 20 and ROES I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:15-cv-01572-APG-DJA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
 EXTEND DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFFS'
 TO FILE A RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT
 ISAAH SMITH'S OBJECTION TO
 PLAINTIFFS' EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT
 OF RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGEMENT [DKT 194]**

21 Victor Perez, as Special Administrator of the Estate of Carlos Perez, deceased, by and
 22 through his counsel, Paola M. Armeni, Esq. and Gia N. Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill
 23 and Defendant Isaiah Smith, by and through his counsel of record Jeffrey F. Barr, Esq. of the law
 24 firm of Armstrong Teasdale, hereby respectfully submit this Stipulation and Order Extending Time
 25 for Plaintiff to Respond to Defendant Isaiah Smith's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidence in Support
 26 of Response to Motion for Summary Judgement [Dkt 194] filed on February 18, 2022, which the
 27 response is currently due on March 4, 2022, to be extended an additional fourteen (14) days up to
 28 and including March 18, 2022.

1 Although Plaintiffs' counsel has been actively working on responding to Defendant's
2 Objection, counsel requires a brief extension to complete the Response. This request for extension
3 is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay.

4 WHEREFORE, the parties stipulate that the time for Plaintiffs to file their Response to
5 Defendant Isaiah Smith's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidence in Support of Response to Motion for
6 Summary Judgement [Dkt 194], be extended an additional fourteen (14) days up to and including
7 March 18, 2022.

8 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT.

9 Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of March 2022.

10 **CLARK HILL, PLLC**

11 */s/ Paola M. Armeni, Esq.*

12 _____
13 PAOLA M. ARMENI
14 Nevada Bar No. 8357
15 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
17 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Perez Family*

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE

11 */s/ Jeffrey F. Barr, Esq.*

12 _____
13 Jeffrey F. Barr, Esq.
14 Nevada Bar No. 007269
15 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200
16 Las Vegas, NV 89169
17 *Attorneys for Defendant Isaiah Smith*

18
19 **ORDER**

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

21 DATED: March 4, 2022

22 
23 _____
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE