1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ON DEMAND DIRECT RESPONSE, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

v. SHANA LEE MCCART-POLLAK, Defendant(s). Case No. 2:15-cv-01576-MMD-NJK ORDER

Pending before the Court is, *inter alia*, whether the Court should hold On Demand in contempt for failing to produce documents as ordered. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 297. "Sanctions for civil contempt may be imposed to coerce obedience to a court order." *General Sign Corp. v. Donallco, Inc.*, 787 F.2d 1376, 1380 (9th Cir. 1986). It has been represented to the Court under penalty of perjury that the documents at issue have not been produced because a third-party vendor will not release them without payment. Docket No. 300 at 4. At the hearing on this matter, counsel expressed a willingness generally to make payments to comply with the Court's orders. *See* Docket No. 321 at 10 (transcript for hearing of February 23, 2018). It is not clear whether counsel has now paid the third-party vendor, such that the documents at issue have been produced to Ms. McCart-Pollak.

25 26 //

//

//

//

- 27
- 28

1	Accordingly, On Demand shall file a supplement identifying whether the third-party vendor has
2	been paid and, if so, whether the documents have now been produced. That supplement shall be filed
3	by March 22, 2018.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	DATED: March 8, 2018
6	
7	NANCY J. KOPPE
8	United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20 27	
27 28	
20	
	2