

1 the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties'
2 resources, and the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden and
3 expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Information within the scope of
4 discovery need not be admissible in evidence to be discoverable.”

5 Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governs discovery by subpoena. Pursuant
6 to Rule 45, a nonparty served with a subpoena has three options: it may (1) comply with the
7 subpoena, (2) serve an objection on the requesting party in accordance with Rule 45(c)(2)(B), or
8 (3) move to quash or modify the subpoena in accordance with Rule 45(c)(3). *Genx Processors*
9 *Mauritius Ltd. v. Jackson*, 2018 WL 5777485, at *9 (D. Nev. Nov. 2, 2018) (citing *In re Plise*, 506
10 B.R. 870, 878 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2014)). When a nonparty raises timely objections to the subpoenas,
11 the nonparty is not required to produce documents, or even search for them, until the propounding
12 party obtains an order directing compliance. *Id.*

13 The subpoena requests documents such as licensing agreements, royalty statements,
14 purchase orders, and profit and loss statements as they relate to the CloudPet Product. Such
15 requests are relevant to McCart-Pollak's renewed motion to calculate damages. Meyers failed to
16 serve any objection to the subpoena and has not responded to the motion to compel. The Court
17 grants McCart-Pollak's motion to compel. Spiral Toys is ordered to comply with the subpoena no
18 later than **February 12, 2018**. Rule 45(e) provides that a court may “hold in contempt a person
19 who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena.” Forsythe, 281
20 F.R.D. at 587. McCart-Pollak requests that the Court hold Meyers in contempt and for an award
21 of costs. The Court denies McCart-Pollak's request to hold Meyers in contempt at this time. The
22 Court, however, grants her request for reasonable fees and costs of bringing her motion to compel.
23 McCart-Pollak shall file her application describing her costs legitimately incurred in relation to
24 her motion to compel no later than **February 8, 2019**. Accordingly,

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant McCart-Pollak's Motion to Compel Compliance with Subpoena to Produce Documents (ECF No. 405) is **granted**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that McCart-Pollak shall file her application for costs no later than **February 8, 2019**.

Dated this 29th day of January, 2019.



GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE