28

80.)

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 LEEANN E. ARCHULETA; AND Case No. 2:15-cv-01608-MMD-VCF MICHAEL DICKENS, an individual, 7 **ORDER** Plaintiffs. 8 ٧. 9 CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, A MARYLAND 10 CORPORATION DOING BUSINESS AS **NEVADA SOUTHERN DETENTION** 11 CENTER, Defendant. 12 13 The Court previously granted Defendant Corrections Corporation of America's 14 motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 81 ("Motion")) on Plaintiff¹ Leeann Archuleta's 15 retaliation claim under Title VII. (ECF No. 89 ("Order").) The Court entered judgment 16 accordingly. (ECF No. 90.) Plaintiff appealed the Order. (ECF No. 92.) The Ninth Circuit 17 Court of Appeals reversed this Court's summary judgment ruling and remanded for further 18 proceedings. (ECF No. 96.) Accordingly, the Court's Order granting Defendant summary 19 judgment and the judgment entered (ECF Nos. 89, 90) are vacated. 20 It is therefore ordered that the parties file a status report within seven days 21 addressing whether the Court should consider the remaining arguments in Defendant's 22 Motion (ECF No. 81), to the extent Defendant is still asserting the remaining arguments. 23 DATED THIS 11th Day of December 2020. 24 25 MIRANDA M. DU CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 27 ¹As indicated by the case caption, Michael Dickens was also a Plaintiff in this case. However, the Court previously dismissed Dickens' claims with prejudice. (See ECF No.