



1 merits of the potentially dispositive motions and is convinced that the plaintiff will be unable to state  
2 a claim for relief. *See Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013).<sup>1</sup>

3 Having reviewed the underlying motions to dismiss, the Court finds that these elements are  
4 present in this case and **GRANTS** the motion to stay discovery. Docket No. 25. If the motions to  
5 dismiss are not granted in full, the parties shall file a proposed discovery plan within seven days of the  
6 issuance of the order resolving the first motion to dismiss that is decided.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: November 9, 2015.

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 NANCY J. KOPPE  
13 United States Magistrate Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 \_\_\_\_\_

25 <sup>1</sup>Conducting this preliminary peek puts the undersigned in an awkward position because the assigned  
26 district judge who will decide the motions to dismiss may have a different view of their merits. *See*  
27 *Tradebay*, 278 F.R.D. at 603. The undersigned’s “preliminary peek” at the merits of those motions is not  
28 intended to prejudice their outcome. *See id.*