

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 INFINITE MASTER MAGNETIC,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER, *et al*,

11 Defendants.  
12

Case No. 2:15-cv-01632-RFB-CWH

**ORDER**

13 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) of the  
14 Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 21, 2017.

15 A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
16 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific  
17 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §  
18 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is  
19 required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed  
20 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local  
21 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct  
22 “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.  
23 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due  
24 by July 5, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case  
25 and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

26 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) is  
27 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

28 . . .

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Infinite Master Magnetic's case is  
2 **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

3           The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff and is  
4 instructed to enter judgment accordingly.

5           DATED: July 13, 2017.



---

7           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
8           **United States District Judge**