Page 1 of 4

1	1. Pursuant to FRCP 41(A)(ii), Nationstar hereby dismisses its claims against the				
2	HOA and Alessi & Koenig, LLC without prejudice.				
3	2. The parties further agree that, upon the approval of the instant stipulation and the				
4	dismissal of all claims against the HOA and Alessi & Koenig, LLC, all claims at issue herein				
5	have been resolved. As a result, the March 6, 2017 Order on Nationstar's Motion for Summar				
6	Judgment shall be considered a final judgment on Nationstar's claims of Quiet Title and				
7	Declaratory Relief, effective as of the entry of the order approving this stipulation, for purpose				
8	of an appeal.				
9	DATED thisthis 15th day of June,	DATED this 15th day of June, 2017 DATED			
0	2017.	this day of, 2017.			
1	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES			
2					
3	/s/ Jamie S. Hendrickson	/s/ Timothy E. Rhoda			
14 15 16	Jamie S. Hendrickson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12770 7785 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Attorney for Defendant, Nationstar Mortgage, LLC	Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7878 9120 West Post Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Attorneys for Defendant, LVDG, LLC			
18 19 20 21	DATED this 15th day of June, 2017 DATED  this day of, 2017.  HOA LAWYERS GROUP, LLC				
23	/s/ Steven T. Loizzi, Jr.				
24   25 26 27 28	Steven T. Loizzi, Jr., Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10920 9500 West Flamingo Road, Suite 204 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Attorney for Defendant, Sutter Creek Homeowners Association and Alessi & Koenig, LLC				
	l I				

	Case 2:15-cv-01636-RCJ-CWH	Document 47	Filed 07/10/17	Page 3 of 4
1				
2				
3				
4				
5	·			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		Page 3 o	of 4	

## **ORDER**

The parties seek dismissal of this action pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

an action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of court (i) by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs, or (ii) by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared in the action. Unless otherwise stated in the notice of dismissal or stipulation, the dismissal is without prejudice, except that a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication upon the merits when filed by a plaintiff who has once dismissed in any court of the United States or of any state an action based on or including the same claim.

Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Because Plaintiff has filed a stipulation for dismissal without prejudice as to all parties under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at466.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED and the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case in light of the parties' filed and signed Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) Stipulation For Dismissal Without Prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7-20-2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE