1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 LEROY COLLINS, Case No. 2:15-CV-1696 JCM (CWH) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 PATRICK HENDRIX, et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court is pro se plaintiff Leroy Collins' motion for reconsideration of 14 the court's screening order (ECF No. 2) regarding plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 3). (ECF No. 15 20). Defendants Romero Aranas, Greg Cox, and Dwight Nevens filed a response (ECF No. 24), 16 to which plaintiff replied (ECF No. 27). 17 After the court screened the initial complaint, plaintiff filed an amended complaint with 18 the court's leave. (ECF Nos. 2, 4). The court then screened plaintiff's amended complaint and 19 entered another screening order, which is the operative screening order. (ECF No. 5). 20 Because plaintiff seeks reconsideration of the non-operative screening order, the instant 21 motion is moot. 22 Accordingly, 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 20) be, 24 and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot. 25 DATED March 20, 2017. 26 27 28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

Collins v. Hendrix et al

Doc. 35