1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6	* * *		
7	LEROY COLLINS,	Case No. 2:15-CV-1696 JCM (CWH)	
8	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER	
9	v.		
10	PATRICK HENDRIX, et al.,		
11	Defendant(s).		
12			
13	Presently before the court is defendants Romeo Aranas, James Cox, Patrick Hendricks, and		
14	Dwight Neven's (collectively "defendants") motion to extend time to file reply. (ECF No. 96).		
15	On August 9, 2018, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 84). On		
16	September 7, 2018, plaintiff Leroy Collins filed a response. (ECF No. 90). On September 11,		
17	2018, defendants filed a motion to strike plaintiff's response for being nearly twice the maximum		
18	length permitted under Local Rule 7-3(c). (ECF No. 91). The deadline for plaintiff to file a		
19	response to defendants' motion to strike has not pas	ssed.	
20	Now, defendants request the court to extend the deadline to file a reply to fourteen (14)		
21	days after the court's ruling on defendants' motion to	o strike (ECF No. 91). (ECF No. 96). Because	
22	the court's ruling will determine whether defendant	ts may need to file a reply, the court will grant	
23	defendants' motion to extend.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge			

1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion to extend time (ECF No. 96) be, and
3	the same hereby is, GRANTED.
4	DATED September 25, 2018.
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
28	
han	