

1 Landowners' trial strategy, consultant relationship and trial preparation in light of the security
2 measures regarding access to the Subject Property.

3 This situation arises due to the security concerns outlined in the Affidavit of Colonel Thomas
4 E. Dempsey (ECF 11-2) and the requirement that any individual who may need to view the Subject
5 Property and/or conduct a site inspection is subject to security checks by the Air Force. Specifically,
6 the Air Force requires the name and social security number of any individual participating in a site
7 visit of the Subject Property as well as the time required for the site visit and a general description
8 of what will occur on the site visit, including whether there will be any use of photography or any
9 other type of equipment during the site visit.

10 Currently, the experts and/or consultants retained by the Groom Mine Landowners¹ need to
11 conduct a site visit to prepare expert opinions and/or assist the Groom Mine Landowners' counsel
12 in trial preparation. The names of any potential experts and/or consultants at this stage in the
13 litigation are confidential and not yet subject to discovery by the United States. Additionally, the
14 duration of time these individuals devote to a site visit and a description of what occurs at a site visit
15 is also confidential and not currently subject to discovery by the United States. The intent of this
16 stipulation is to insure that the security measures required for site access will not create an unfair
17 litigation advantage for any party.

18 Accordingly, the parties and their counsel believe that a protective order restricting the use
19 and/or dissemination of the names and social security numbers of any individuals participating in
20 a site visit is necessary and appropriate to facilitate discovery and litigation in this action. The parties
21 and their counsel further believe that a protective order restricting the use and/or dissemination of
22 any information related to the Groom Mine Landowners' expert/consultant site visit including any
23 description thereof is necessary and appropriate to facilitate fair discovery and good faith litigation
24 in this action.

25 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the parties
26 in the above-entitled action, through their respective counsel of record, that:

27 _____

28 ¹ Those represented by the Law Offices of Kermit L. Waters (ECF 53)

1 1. The United States will provide the name and corresponding email address for one
2 individual at the Air Force to whom the Groom Mine Landowners' counsel will directly
3 communicate regarding the expert/consultant site visit ("USAF Contact").

4 2. The USAF Contact will not share any information it receives with any Air Force
5 litigation counsel involved in this matter for the United States, including but not limited to any
6 individual with the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Attorney's Office, and/or any experts and/or
7 consultants working on behalf of the United States in this matter.

8 3. The Groom Mine Landowners' counsel will email to the USAF Contact the name and
9 social security number of any individual participating in a site visit as well as the time required for
10 the site visit and a general description of what will occur on the site visit, including whether there
11 will be any use of photography or any other type of equipment during the site visit.

12 4. The information provided to or received by the USAF Contact related to the
13 expert/consultant site visit shall be used solely for Air Force security purposes while not violating
14 the intent of this agreement. Accordingly, no Air Force litigation counsel involved in this matter,
15 individual with the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Attorney's Office, and/or any experts and/or
16 consultants working on behalf of the United States in this matter shall be provided any information
17 provided to or received by the USAF Contact.

18 5. If the USAF Contact must disclose any information to any other individual at the Air
19 Force either to run security checks or to conduct security checks at the site visit, then those
20 individuals must also adhere to this Stipulation and Order.

21 //
22 //
23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //
27 //
28

1 6. The provisions of this Stipulation and Order will remain in effect while this case is
2 in litigation, and will no longer apply once this case is concluded.

3 The Parties hereto and their counsel move this Court to enter a protective order approving
4 this stipulation.

5 Dated this 7th Day of April, 2016

6 DANIEL G. BOGDEN, U.S. Attorney
7 District of Nevada

8 TROY K. FLAKE
9 Assistant U.S. Attorney

10 By: /s/ Georgia Garthwaite
11 GEORGIA GARTHWAITE

12 Trial Attorneys
13 United States Department of Justice

14 By: /s/ Johanna Franzen
15 JOHANNA FRANZEN

16 Trial Attorney
17 United States Department of Justice

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED this 27th
20 day of April, 2016

LAW OFFICES OF KERMIT L. WATERS

By: /s/ Autumn Waters
KERMIT L. WATERS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 2571
JAMES J. LEAVITT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6032
MICHAEL SCHNEIDER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8887
AUTUMN WATERS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8917

Attorneys for Defendant
Sheahan Landowners

21
22 
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28