1	Margaret A. McLetchie, Nevada Bar No. 1093	1				
2	Alina M. Shell, Nevada Bar No. 11711 MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC					
3	701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520					
	Las Vegas, NV 89101					
4	Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220					
5	Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com					
6	Jennifer L. Braster, Nevada Bar No. 9982					
7	NAYLOR & BRASTER					
8	1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200					
9	Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 420-7000					
	Facsimile: (702) 420-7001					
10	Email: jbraster@naylorandbrasterlaw.com					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Edward Wheeler					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13						
^{wo} 14	DISTRICT	DF NEVADA				
15 III	EDWARD WHEELER, an individual,	Case. No.: 2:15-cv-01772-JCM-CWH				
^x 16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND				
17	VS.	DISCOVERY DEADLINES SET FORTH IN SCHEDULING ORDER				
18		[ECF No. 56]				
19	CITY OF HENDERSON, a Nevada Municipal Corporation; CITY OF NORTH	(Fourth Request)				
20	LAS VEGAS, Nevada, a Municipal Corporation; and SERGEANT TRAVIS					
21	SNYDER, individually and in his official					
22	capacity as a North Las Vegas Police Sergeant,					
23						
24	Defendants.					
25	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, the parties, by and through their respective counse					
26	of record, hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend discovery in the above-					
27	captioned case sixty (60) days, up to and including Tuesday , August 7, 2018. In addition					
28						
		-				

1



Dockets.Justia.com

an additional sixty (60) days as outlined herein. In support of this Stipulation and Request,
 the parties state as follows:

3

DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

4 1. On September 15, 2015, this action was commenced by Plaintiff Edward
5 Wheeler filing of this Complaint and Jury Demand (ECF No. 1).

6 2. On December 11, 2015 Plaintiff Edward Wheeler filed his Amended
7 Complaint (ECF No. 5).

8 3. On January 5, 2016, Defendant City of Henderson filed its Motion to
9 Dismiss Amended Complaint (ECF No. 17).

10 4. On August 1, 2016, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler filed his Second Amended
11 Complaint (ECF No. 25).

12 5. On December 21, 2016, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler filed his Third Amended
13 Complaint (ECF No. 30).

6. On January 10, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson filed its Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 34).

7. On January 18, 2017, Defendants City of North Las Vegas and Sergeant
Travis Snyder filed their Answer to Third Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial
(ECF Nos. 35 and 37).

8. On March 10, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set of
Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant City of Henderson.

9. On March 10, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set of
Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant City of North Las Vegas.

23 10. On March 10, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set of
24 Interrogatories to Defendant City of Henderson.

25 11. On March 10, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set of
26 Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas.

27 12. On March 14, 2017, the Stipulated Discovery Plan Discovery Plan and
28 Scheduling Order was filed (ECF No. 46).

CLETCHIESHE ATTORNEYSATLAW 701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUTHE 520 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5300 (7) (702)425-8220 (7) www.nvlittigation.com 12

1 13. On March 14, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his Second Set 2 of Interrogatories to Defendant City of Henderson. 3 14. On March 14, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his Second Set 4 of Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas. 5 15. On March 20, 2017, Defendants City of North Las Vegas and Sergeant 6 Travis Snyder produced their Initial Disclosures of Production of Documents. 7 16. On March 21, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler produced his Initial 8 Disclosures of Production of Documents. 9 On March 21, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson produced its Initial 17. 10 Disclosures of Production of Documents. 11 18. On April 10, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson responded to Plaintiff 12 Edward Wheeler's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents. 13 19. On April 10, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson responded to Plaintiff 14 Edward Wheeler's First Set of Interrogatories. 15 20. On April 13, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson responded to Plaintiff 16 Edward Wheeler's Second Set of Interrogatories. 17 21. On April 13, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson produced its First 18 Supplement to Initial Disclosures of Production of Documents. 19 22. On May 5, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas responded to Plaintiff 20 Edward Wheeler's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents. 21 23. On May 5, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas responded to Plaintiff 22 Edward Wheeler's First Set of Interrogatories. 23 24. On May 5, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas responded to Plaintiff 24 Edward Wheeler's Second Set of Interrogatories. 25 25. On June 5, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas and Sergeant Travis 26 Snyder produced their First Supplement to Initial Disclosures of Production of Documents. 27 26. On June 8, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas propounded its First 28 Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff Edward Wheeler.

CLETCHIESHE ATTORNEYS ATLAW 701 EAST BRIDGIE AVE., SUTTE 520 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)778-5230 (T) WWW.NVLTIGATION.COM

1	27.	On June 8, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas propounded its First			
2	Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Edward Wheeler.				
3	28.	On June 19, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler produced his First Supplement			
4	to Initial Disclosures of Production of Documents.				
5	29.	On July 28, 2017, this Court granted the parties' Stipulated Protective Order			
6	(ECF No. 52).				
7	30.	On August 3, 2018, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler responded to Defendant City			
8	of North Las Vegas's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents.				
9	31.	On August 3, 2018, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler responded to Defendant City			
10	of North Las Vegas's First Set of Interrogatories.				
11	32.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his Third			
12	Set of Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas.				
13	33.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his Third			
14	Set of Interrogatories to Defendant City of Henderson.				
15	34.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his Second			
16	Set of Request for Production of Documents to Defendant City of North Las Vegas.				
17	35.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set			
18	of Interrogatories to Defendant Sgt. Travis Snyder.				
19	36.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff Edward Wheeler propounded his First Set			
20	of Request for Production of Documents to Defendant Sgt. Travis Snyder.				
21	37.	On November 8, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas responded to			
22	Plaintiff Edwar	d Wheeler's Third Set of Interrogatories.			
23	38.	On November 8, 2017, Defendant Sgt. Travis Snyder responded to Plaintiff			
24	Edward Wheele	er's First Set of Interrogatories.			
25	39.	On November 8, 2017, Defendant City of North Las Vegas responded to			
26	Plaintiff Edwar	d Wheeler's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents.			
27	40.	On November 8, 2017, Defendant Sgt. Travis Snyder responded to Plaintiff			
28	Edward Wheeler's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents.				

MCLETCHIESHEIL ATTORNEYSATLAW 701 EAST BRIDGER AVE. SUTTE 220 LASY VEGGS, NY 89101 (7027728-5300 (T) (7022925-8220 (F) www.nyltifatton.com

1	41. On November 16, 2017, Defendant City of Henderson responded to			
2	Plaintiff Edward Wheeler's Third Set of Interrogatories.			
3	42. On November 29, 2017, Defendants City of North Las Vegas and Sgt.			
4	Travis Snyder noticed the deposition of Plaintiff Edward Wheeler.			
5	43. On January 9, 2018, Defendant City of Henderson produced its Second			
6	Supplement to Initial Disclosures of Production of Documents.			
7	44. On January 23, 2018, Defendants took the deposition of Plaintiff Edward			
8	Wheeler.			
9	45. The parties anticipate that additional written discovery will be necessary.			
10	DISCOVERY REMAINING			
11	1. The deposition of parties, Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) witness(es), and any			
12	disclosed experts will be completed by the close of discovery.			
13	3. Additional written discovery and responses.			
14	4. Expert disclosures.			
15	REASONS WHY DISCOVERY WAS NOT COMPLETED			
15				
16	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the			
16	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the			
16 17	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension.			
16 17 18	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the			
16 17 18 19	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be			
16 17 18 19 20	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions.			
16 17 18 19 20 21	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due on March 21, 2018, in <i>Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas</i> -			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due on March 21, 2018, in <i>Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas-Review Journal</i> , Nev. S.Ct. Case No. 75095. Counsel also has supplemental briefing in a 42			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due on March 21, 2018, in <i>Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas-Review Journal</i> , Nev. S.Ct. Case No. 75095. Counsel also has supplemental briefing in a 42 U.S. § 1983 civil rights case in <i>Gayler v. High Desert State Prison et al.</i> , U.S. District Court			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due on March 21, 2018, in <i>Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas-Review Journal</i> , Nev. S.Ct. Case No. 75095. Counsel also has supplemental briefing in a 42 U.S. § 1983 civil rights case in <i>Gayler v. High Desert State Prison et al.</i> , U.S. District Court Case 2:14-cv-00769-APG-CWH on March 29, 2018. Further, counsel also must respond to			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	The parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have recently stipulated to a Protective Order which was entered by the Court and will now provide documents subject to that order. Said documents will also be submitted for expert review. In addition, the parties are working on scheduling depositions. Counsel for Plaintiff Edward Wheeler has an Opposition to a Motion to Stay due on March 21, 2018, in <i>Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner v. Las Vegas-Review Journal</i> , Nev. S.Ct. Case No. 75095. Counsel also has supplemental briefing in a 42 U.S. § 1983 civil rights case in <i>Gayler v. High Desert State Prison et al.</i> , U.S. District Court Case 2:14-cv-00769-APG-CWH on March 29, 2018. Further, counsel also must respond to a memorandum regarding sealed documents in <i>U.S.A. v. Bundy et al.</i> , U.S. District Court			

MCLETCHIESHELL ATTORNEYSATLAW 701 EAST BRUDGER AVE., SUTT 520 LAS VERAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5300 (T) (702)425-8220 (F) WWW.NVLITTGATTON.COM

1 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Ninth Circuit of Appeal Case No. 17-2 16512. Lastly, Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants City of North Las Vegas and Sgt. Travis 3 Snyder have responses to dispositive motions due in Walker et al. v. City of North Las Vegas, 4 et al., U.S. District Court Case 2:14-cv-01475-JAD-NJK on April 5, 2018.

Counsel for Defendants City of North Las Vegas has been occupied in multi-day 6 depositions in Spiotto v. LVMPD, 2:17-cv-153-GMN-GWF. Further counsel for defendants is preparing for trial in Kingham v. State Farm, 2:15-cv-1555-APG-GWF and Stephan v. 8 State Farm, CV16-1846, both trials set to begin on May 7, 2018.

9 Given counsel's time constraints and availability the time to complete discovery in 10 this case has been telescoped. The parties are diligently working on the discovery in this 11 case.

The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed

	extended	deadlines:
--	----------	------------

15				
14	Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline	
15	Discovery Cut-off	Friday, June 8, 2018	Tuesday, August 7, 2018	
16	Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	Monday, April 9, 2018	Friday, June 8, 2018	
17	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	Wednesday, May 9, 2018	Monday, July 9, 2018	
18	26(a)(2)		June 8, 2018	
19	Interim Status Report	Monday, April 9, 2018	Tuesday, August 7, 2018	
19	Dispositive Motions	Monday, July 9, 2018	Thursday, September 6,	
20			or at least thirty (30) days	
21			after the close of discovery.	
22	Joint Pretrial Order	Tuesday, August 7, 2018	Monday, October 8, 2018, or at least thirty	
23			(30) days after the	
24			decision of last Dispositive Motions or	
25			further order of the	
26			Court.	

26 27

28

Extension or Modification of The Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. LR 26-4

governs modifications or extension of this discovery plan and scheduling order. Any

stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration

5

7

12

13

of the subject deadline, and comply fully with LR 26-4. In this case, the current deadline for
 the expert disclosures is April 9, 2018, and thus this request is timely.

This extension request is made in good faith, jointly by the parties, and not for the
purposes of delay. Trial in this matter has not yet been set. Moreover, since this request is
a joint request, neither party will be prejudiced.

This Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or other purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of allowing sufficient time to conduct discovery in this case and adequately prepare their respective cases for trial.

10 This is the fourth request for extension of time in this matter. The parties 11 respectfully submit that the reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons for the 12 extension.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend discovery deadlines in the above-captioned case sixty (60) days, up to and including **August 7, 2018** and the other discovery deadlines as outlined in accordance with the table above.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

18 DATED this 19th day of March, 2018.

¹⁹ CITY OF HENDERSON

20 /s/ Nancy D. Savage 21 Josh M. Reid, NBN 7497 Nancy D. Savage, NBN 392 22 240 Water Street, MSC 144 Henderson, NV 89015 23 Attorneys for Defendant, City of Henderson 24 25 26 27 28 DATED this 19th day of March, 2018.

DATED this 19th day of March, 2018.

MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC

/s/ Alina M. Shell Margaret A. McLetchie, NBN 10931 Alina M. Shell, NBN 11711 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 Las Vegas, NV 89101

NAYLOR & BRASTER Jennifer L. Braster, NBN 9982 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Edward Wheeler

6

7

8

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

/s/ Robert W. Freeman, Jr.

- 3 Robert W. Freeman, Jr., NBN 3062
- 4 Noel E. Eidsmore, NBN 7688
- 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
- 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
 - Attorneys for Defendants, City of North Las Vegas
- 6 and Sergeant Travis Snyder

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED, subject to the court's modification to the deadline for interim status reports. DATED: March 20, 2018

MAGISTRATE JUDGE U.S. DISTRICT

