Allstate Insurance Company et al v. Shah, MD et al

CARRUTH LLP

LAS VEGAS, NV 89113

Dockets.Justia.com

Doc. 1|33

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 8337 W. SUNSET RD, SUITE 350 LAS VEGAS, NV 89113 2:15-cv-01786-APG-CWH

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A party seeking to seal documents attached to a dispositive motion must identify "compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings" in order to overcome the presumptive right of public access to those documents. *Kamakana v. City & Cty. Of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006). The Court will balance the competing interests of the public and the parties (or non-parties) in deciding whether to seal judicial documents. *Id.* at 1179.

On March 15, 2017, Plaintiffs herein filed their Response to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. The Plaintiffs attached 18 documents to their Appendix in support of their Response to the Motion for Sanctions that qualify (or likely qualify) for sealing: Exhibits 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21. Exhibits 1-12 consist of recorded statements of patients of Defendants, which describe medical treatment and a deposition transcript of a Chiropractic Doctor, which also describes medical treatment of patients undertaken by Defendants. Exhibits 14 and 16 consist of deposition transcripts of two patients which describe medical treatment rendered to them by the Defendants. Exhibits 17, 18, 20-21, are declarations of expert medical witnesses on behalf of Allstate, and these written declarations describe medical treatment rendered to several patients of the Defendants. Exhibits 1-5 and 7-12 have all been labeled confidential by Allstate.

Because the patients referenced in Exhibits 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 are non-parties to this matter, sealing these exhibits is warranted in order to protect the patients' privacy interests under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. *See, e.g., Brodsky v. Baca,* No. 3:14-cv-00641-RJC-WGC, 2015 WL 6962867, 1 (D. Nev. 2015) (recognizing that protecting medical privacy qualifies as a "compelling reason" to seal judicial records.)

```
22 | ///
23 | ///
24 | ///
25 | ///
26 | ///
27 | ///
```

///

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2:15-cv-01786-APG-CWH

1	For these reasons, Allstate respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion to Seal.
2	DATED this 15th day of March, 2017
3	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
4	WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
5	
6	By //s// Jared P. Green
7	Jared P. Green
	Nevada Bar No. 10059 8337 West Sunset Road, Suite 350
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Telephone: (702) 949-1100
9	Telephone: (702) 949-1100
10	FAIN ANDERSON VANDERHOEF ROSENDAHL O'HALLORAN SPILLANE PLLC
11	IT IS SO ORDERED. Eron Z. Cannon
12	Nevada Bar No. 8013 DATED: April 4, 2017 701 5 th Avenue #4750
	Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone: (206) 749-0094
13	Most
14	C.W. HOFFMAN, JR. Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I	
17	I hereby certify that on this /5 day of March, 2017, a true and correct copy of MOTION
17 18	I hereby certify that on this day of March, 2017, a true and correct copy of MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN
18	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN
18 19	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
18 19 20	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or
18 19 20 21	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice.
18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-21 FOUND IN PLAINTIFFS' APPENDIX SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS was served via the United States District Court CM/ECF system on all parties or persons requiring notice. By //s// Kristin Thomas Kristin Thomas, an Employee of MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP