1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	ALL STATE DISLIDANCE CO. et al. (2.15×0.179)
4	ALLSTATE INSURANCE CO., et al.,) Case No. 2:15-cv-01786-APG-CWH) Plaintiffs,)
5	
6	
7	RUSSELL J. SHAH, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9)
10	Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to seal (ECF No. 248), filed on February 23,
11	2018, and Defendants' emergency motion to seal (ECF No. 251), filed on February 27, 2018. Both
12	parties move to seal Plaintiffs' response brief (ECF No. 246) filed in opposition to a pending motion
13	to quash.
14	Motions to seal are generally disfavored, in deference to the public's "general right to inspect
15	and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Kamakana v.
16	City & Cty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns,
17	Inc., 435 U.S. 589 (1978)). Except for a narrow range of documents in criminal matters that have
18	traditionally been kept secret, there is a "strong presumption in favor of access" for court records. <i>Id.</i>
19	The party which seeks to seal a court record bears the burden of overcoming this presumption. Id.
20	When determining whether a record should be sealed, the court must attempt to balance the
21	competing interests of the public and the party seeking to seal the record. Id. at 1179. When
22	attempting to balance these competing interests, the potential embarrassment, incrimination, or
23	exposure to further litigation do not by themselves constitute compelling reasons. Id. A court may
24	seal a record only upon a finding of "compelling reasons," in the case of exhibits attached to
25	dispositive motions, or "good cause" for discovery materials. Id. at 1178-1179.
26	Here, the parties represent that Plaintiffs' response brief to Defendant's motion to quash
27	contains material deemed confidential pursuant to the stipulated protective order in this case (ECF
28	NO. 39). The Court therefore finds good cause to grant the motions to seal.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' motion to seal (ECF No. 248), and
2	Defendants' emergency motion to seal (ECF No. 251) are GRANTED. The Clerk shall SEAL
3	Plaintiffs' response (ECF No. 246) and Exhibit A (ECF No. 246-2).
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file a redacted version the above documents
5	no later than March 5, 2018, consistent with the redactions requested in Defendants' motion, as well
6	as any other redactions necessary to comply with the stipulated protective order.
7	DATED: February 28, 2018
8 9	Curst
10	C.W. Hoffman, Jr. United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2