

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Here, although Warenback styled this pleading as a motion, it in substance seeks a successive consideration of ground 1. See *id.* at 531. He does not challenge the integrity of the proceedings, and therefore, the motion is barred under AEDPA. *Id.*

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for district judge to reconsider order (Dkt. #13) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for submission of the motion to reconsider (Dkt. #14) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents' motion for extension of time to file a responsive pleading to the petition (Dkt. #15) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall file such responsive pleading within **forty-five (45) days** of the date of this order.

DATED: 3 May 2016.



ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE