Evans v. Board of Prison Commissioners et al

Doc. 30

capacity as Associate Warden of High 1 Desert State Prison; COLE MORROW, in his individual and official capacity as 2 Associate Warden of High Desert State Prison; JERRY HOWELL, in his individual 3 and official capacity as Associate Warden 4 of High Desert State Prison; JENNIFER NASH, in her individual and official capacity 5 as Associate Warden of High Desert State; COLIN BROWN, in his individual capacity 6 as a correctional sergeant; MICHAEL 7 RAMOS, in his individual capacity as a correctional officer; RANDOLPH MOYE, in 8 his individual capacity as a correctional officer: BRUCE STROUD, in his individual 9 capacity as a correctional officer lieutenant; 10 ARTHUR LINDSEY, in his individual capacity as a correctional lieutenant; 11 CHARLES RATCLIFF, in his individual capacity as a correctional officer; RYAN 12 PAPPAS, in his individual capacity as a 13 correctional officer; YEKCHUNG YUNG, in his individual capacity as a correctional 14 officer; and DOES 1 through 10, collectively, 15

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Lawrence Evans, by and through counsel, Travis N. Barrick, and Defendants Nevada Board of Prison Commissioner, Nevada Department of Corrections, Isidro Baca, Colin Brown, Barbara Cegavske, James Cox, Jerry Howell, Adam Laxalt, Randolph Moye, Jennifer Nash, Dwight Neven, Ryan Pappas, Michael Ramos, Brian Sandoval, Anthony Scillia, Bruce Stroud and Yekchung Yung, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Andrea R. Barraclough, Chief Deputy Attorney General, hereby stipulate as follows:

1) That the Court in (ECF No. 28) ordered Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's pending Motion for Preliminary Judgment, which had been stayed for the purposed of mediation, but which had been resurrected upon a stipulated lift of the stay when mediation did not result in settlement.

///

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2) That even though mediation was unsuccessful, the parties continued informal negotiations. Defendants did not provide an opposition brief earlier because settlement was close:

That this matter has been successfully negotiated as of today, with Plaintiff 3) providing oral agreement but still needing to sign and return the Settlement Agreement prior to counsels' ability to formally file the Stipulation to Dismiss.

Accordingly, the parties stipulate to an extension to time for Defendants to file an Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction, in the expectation that they will be able to file a Stipulation to Dismiss upon settlement agreement in lieu of an opposition prior to its next due date.

The parties believe Plaintiff can review, sign and return the Settlement Agreement within two (2) weeks, that it can be countersigned within a few days thereafter, and that the Stipulation to Dismiss can be executed by both counsels contemporaneously. Thus, the parties request a continuance of time to respond to the Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction until Monday, July 11, 2016.

20

22

23

25

26

27 28 DATED this 20 day of June, 2016.

GALLIAN WELKER & BECKSTROM, LC

By: /s/ Travis N. Barrick

Travis N. Barrick, SBN 9257 540 E. St. Louis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

(702) 892-3500 tbarrick@vegascase.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Indrea Barraclough

Andrea R. Barraclough, SBN 9158 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

DATED this 20 day of June, 2016.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

(775) 684-1260

abarraclough@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Defendants

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED:

June 21, 2016 Dated:

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE