1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 NATHAN CAMPBELL, 7 Plaintiff, 2:15-cv-01893-RFB-CWH 8 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION VS. 9 DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF CLARK 10 COUNTY, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 On March 30, 2017, the court entered an order denying Plaintiff Nathan Campbell Sr.'s application to proceed in forma pauperis without prejudice. (Order (ECF No. 12).) The order 14 15 required Plaintiff to file a complete application to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the \$400.00 fee for filing a civil action by May 1, 2017. (Id. at 6.) The court advised Plaintiff that failure to 16 17 comply with its order may result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. (Id.) Plaintiff has 18 not taken any action in this case since the court's order dated March 30, 2017, and he therefore 19 appears to have abandoned this case. 20 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff Nathan Campbell Sr.'s case be 21 DISMISSED without prejudice. 22 **NOTICE** 23 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may 24 25 file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with 26 this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive

the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: May 4, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE