1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 FRANCISCO VIDAL, et al., Case No. 2:15-cv-01943-RFB-CWH 8 **Plaintiffs** 9 **ORDER** VS. JOE LOMBARDO, et al., 10 11 Defendants. 12 13 Presently before the court is Plaintiffs Sandra Scharas and Francisco Vidal's Motion for 14 Extension of Time to Respond to Motion for Sanctions (ECF No. 36), filed on August 21, 2017. 15 Ms. Scharas filed this motion on a pro se basis, stating that she and Mr. Vidal need additional time to respond to the pending motion for sanctions because their attorney is moving to withdraw and 16 17 they are looking for a new attorney. Plaintiffs' attorney has filed a motion to withdraw that is pending before the court. (Mot. to 18 19 Withdraw (ECF No. 38).) Before moving to withdraw, however, Plaintiffs' attorney responded to 20 the motion for sanctions. (Resp. to Mot. for Sanctions (ECF No. 37).) The court therefore will 21 deny Plaintiffs' pro se motion for additional time to respond to the motion for sanctions as moot. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney Craig Mueller must serve a copy of this order on 24 Plaintiffs and file proof of that service by September 1, 2017. 25 DATED: August 24, 2017 26 27 C.W. Hoffman, Jr. 28 **United States Magistrate Judge**