27

28

¹ Judges in this District have held that the pendency of a motion to remand is not a sufficient basis to stay discovery. *See Cooks-Putnam v. Trump Las Vegas Corp.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. Lexis 115606, *3 (D. Nev. Aug. 31, 2015) (citing *Grammar v. Colo. Hosp. Ass'n Shared Servs.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. Lexis 83966, *5 (D. Nev. June 26, 2015)). Any request to stay discovery in this case pending resolution of the motion to remand must specifically explain why the Court should not follow that case law.