Patterson v. Harrington et al Doc. 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TOMAREY PATTERSON, Plaintiff(s), vs. DCFS TRACY HARRINGTON, et al., Defendant(s).	Case No. 2:15-cv-02007-JCM-NJK ORDER (Docket No. 5)

Concurrently herewith, the Court is issuing orders granting Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismissing Plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. Also pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a "cease and desist order." *See* Docket No. 5. The precise relief sought through that motion is not clear. Nonetheless, the pending motion is **DENIED** without prejudice in light of the procedural posture of this case.[1] In the event Plaintiff is found to have stated a viable claim in an amended complaint, he may renew the instant request for relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 28, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

[1] The motion is also **DENIED** because it was not properly signed as required by the rules of this Court. *See, e.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). The Court will not recognize a thumb print as a proper signature. *See* Docket No. 5 at 3:26. All future filings by Plaintiff must include a signature.

Dockets.Justia.com