KMI Zeolite, Inc. v. United States Department of the Interior, et al.

Doc. 176

dismiss sua sponte for lack of prosecution has generally been considered an 'inherent power,' governed not by rule or statute but by the control necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.

Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962).

The Supreme Court specifically affirmed "the power of courts, acting on their own initiative, to clear their calendars of cases that have remained dormant because of the inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief." Id. at 630. Thus, Rule 41(b) authorizes district courts to sua sponte dismiss actions for failure to prosecute or to comply with court orders or the Rules. Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 640–43 (9th Cir. 2002);

This power is also codified in this court's local rules. Local Rule 41-1 provides that "[a]ll civil actions that have been pending in this court for more than 270 days without any proceeding of record having been taken may, after notice, be dismissed for want of prosecution by the court sua sponte or on the motion of an attorney or pro se party." LR 41-1.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the parties shall file a dispositive motion, stipulation to dismiss, or other appropriate motion within seven (7) days of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall—if they do not file a dispositive motion, stipulation to dismiss or other appropriate motion—file a status report and show cause why this matter should not be dismissed in its entirety within seven (7) days of this order.

DATED February 21, 2020.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE