

CHARLES N. BELSSNER,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
AUTODYNAMICS.,  
Defendant.

Case No.: 2:15-cv-2128-GMN-PAL

## ORDER

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 2), is  
4 **ADOPTED** in full.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma  
6 Pauperis, (ECF No. 1), is **DENIED** and the complaint is dismissed without prejudice.

7  
8 **DATED** this 21 day of March, 2017.

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
13 United States District Court  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25