

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Jose Castillo,

Plaintiff

v.

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:15-cv-2208-JAD-GWF

Order Granting Motion to Remand
[Doc. 19]


10 Plaintiff Jose Castillo sues his automobile-insurance provider State Farm Mutual Automobile
11 Insurance Company for failing to pay UIM benefits for an October 2014 car accident. After State
12 Farm removed this case based on diversity jurisdiction, Castillo moved to remand it, and the parties
13 stipulated to dismiss all claims for which punitive damages may have been sought.¹ 28 U.S.C. §
14 1332(a)(1) gives federal district courts “original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in
15 controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between
16 citizens of different states.” If the court finds that the amount-in-controversy requirement is not met,
17 it should remand the case to state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

18 I held oral argument on this motion on January 9, 2017, and the parties’ stipulation to dismiss
19 all claims on which punitive damages could have been awarded has left it apparent to me that this
20 action does not satisfy the threshold jurisdictional amount. Accordingly, with good cause appearing
21 and no reason for delay,

22 It is **HEREBY ORDERED** that Castillo’s Motion to Remand [ECF No. 19] is **GRANTED**.
23 This case is remanded back to Nevada’s Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. A-15-725240-
24 C, Dept. XVI.

25 DATED: January 9, 2017

26
27
28



JENNIFER A. DORSEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ ECF Nos. 19 (motion); 21 (stipulation).