

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LAURA GRIENSEWIC,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

TARGET CORPORATION,

Defendant(s).

) Case No. 2:15-cv-02282-MMD-NJK

) ORDER

) (Docket No. 19)

Pending before the Court is Defendant’s motion for extension of discovery deadlines. Docket No. 19. Plaintiff failed to respond. *See* Docket. The Court finds the matter properly resolved without oral argument. *See* LR 78–1. For the reasons discussed below, Defendant’s motion, Docket No. 19, is **GRANTED**.

To prevail on a request to amend a scheduling order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b), a movant must establish good cause. *See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992); *see also* LR 26-4. The good cause inquiry focuses primarily on the movant’s diligence. *See Coleman v. Quaker Oats Co.*, 232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000). Good cause to extend the discovery cutoff exists “if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension.” *Johnson*, 975 F.2d at 609 (citing Advisory Committee’s Notes to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16). “[C]arelessness is not compatible with a finding of diligence and offers no reason for a grant of relief.” *Id.* (citing *Engleson v. Burlington Northern R.R. Co.*, 972 F.2d 1038, 1043 (9th Cir. 1992))

1 Defendant submits that the parties have diligently conducted a substantial amount of discovery
2 in this matter. Docket No. 19 at 3; *see also id.* at 4 (detailing discovery completed to date). Yet, despite
3 having timely noticed several depositions before the close of discovery, Defendant represents that at
4 least three deponents have advised they are unavailable for deposition until after the discovery cut-off.
5 *Id.* at 3-4.

6 Accordingly, good causes exists for the requested extensions. Defendant's motion for extension
7 of discovery deadlines, Docket No. 19, is therefore **GRANTED**. The discovery cut-off is extended to
8 September 27, 2016; the dispositive motions deadline is extended to October 27, 2016; and the joint
9 pretrial order is extended to November 28, 2016. If dispositive motions are filed, then the joint pretrial
10 order deadline shall be extended to 30 days after the decision on the dispositive motions or further order
11 of the Court.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: July 26, 2016

14 
15 _____
16 NANCY J. KORPE
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28