

1	Plaintiff submits that, on December 21, 2015, she was advised that Defendant City of Las
2	Vegas would accept service for the individual Defendants it still employs, though it has yet to do so. <sup>1</sup>
3	Id. at 8. Assuming that Defendant City of Las Vegas accepts service for those employees, three
4	Defendants remain to be served. <sup>2</sup> Id. Additionally, Plaintiff submits that Defendant Correct Care
5	Solutions has not yet responded to her inquiries regarding service of its employees, so she is
6	currently unaware as to whether Defendant Correct Care will accept service of those employees
7	and/or assist in locating them. <sup>3</sup> Id. Finally, Plaintiff represents that counsel for Defendant City of
8	North Las Vegas accepted service on behalf of Defendants Officer Scott Vaughn and Officer A.
9	Slocum, leaving only Defendant Sergeant Moore to be served. Id.
10	Plaintiff submits that she possesses limited information on the identity of some of the
11	remaining 31 individual Defendants, but that she has diligently made efforts to locate these
12	Defendants in order to effect service. <sup>4</sup> Id. at 9. Plaintiff therefore requests an extension of 105 days,
13	until May 2, 2016, to serve the remaining 31 individual Defendants. Id. at 17.
14	DISCUSSION
15	Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m) provides,
16	(m) <i>Time Limit for Service</i> . If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the
17	complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that arrives he medowithin a gradified time. But if the relativity for a grad course for
18	that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This whether the division (m) does not expluse to exprise a foreign experimentation of the plaintiff of the
19	subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or $4(j)(1)$ .
20	
21	
22	<sup>1</sup> Those Defendants are Michelle Freeman, Lt. Russell, Sgt. Byard, Officer R. Dorado, Officer A. Chalmers, Officer T. Valenzuela, Officer A. Knight, Officer J. McCormick, Officer A. Brooks,
23	Officer R. Brookins, Officer Y Giron, Officer Landrove, and Officer Bledsoe. <i>Id.</i>
24	<sup>2</sup> Those Defendants are Sergeant Avila, Sergeant M. Bunnell, and Officer Randall. <i>Id</i> .
25	
	<sup>3</sup> Those Defendant are Nurse Arturo Buen, Nurse Ann Buen, Psychiatric Nurse Dennis Miles, Nurse Amy Perez, Nurse Dennis Cendana, Nurse Vickie Athukorala, Nurse Jane Balao, Nurse Mary
26	
	Nurse Amy Perez, Nurse Dennis Cendana, Nurse Vickie Athukorala, Nurse Jane Balao, Nurse Mary Boyd, Nurse Marcia Sencio, Psychologist Dean Nelson, Nurse Norma Alicia-Carpia, Nurse Kelly Evans, Nurse Sieve Maua, and Nurse Loretta Burton. <i>Id</i> . <sup>4</sup> In addition to her requests to the corporate and municipal Defendants, Plaintiff has
26	Nurse Amy Perez, Nurse Dennis Cendana, Nurse Vickie Athukorala, Nurse Jane Balao, Nurse Mary Boyd, Nurse Marcia Sencio, Psychologist Dean Nelson, Nurse Norma Alicia-Carpia, Nurse Kelly Evans, Nurse Sieve Maua, and Nurse Loretta Burton. <i>Id</i> .

1	The Court finds that Plaintiff has shown good cause for the extension and grants the 105-day
2	extension. Plaintiff shall have until May 2, 2016, to serve the 31 remaining individual Defendants.
3	CONCLUSION
4	Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefore,
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Time to Serve, Docket No. 20,
6	is GRANTED.
7	DATED this <u>26th</u> day of January, 2016.
8	
9	
10	NANCY J. KOPPE
11	United States Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 3 -