

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10
11 FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY,
et al.,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 v.

14 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC,

15 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:15-cv-02381-GMN-NJK

Order

[Docket Nos. 100, 108]

16 Pending before the Court are two motions for protective order. Docket Nos. 100, 108.
17 Those motions have been fully briefed. See Docket Nos. 102, 105, 111, 112 (corrected image),
18 113. The nub of the parties' dispute is whether SFR is entitled to discovery into the Enterprises'
19 interest in the properties that are subject to this case. That same underlying dispute has been
20 briefed in other filings that remain pending. See, e.g., Docket No. 70.

21 Federal courts have broad discretion in controlling their dockets. See, e.g., *Landis v. N.*
22 *American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936). It is not in the interest of efficiency to address the same
23 or substantially similar arguments in the same case through several different motions. Given the
24 procedural posture of this case, the Court hereby **STAYS** discovery on an interim basis pending
25 resolution of the pending motion to stay discovery (Docket No. 70). Cf. *V5 Techs., LLC v. Switch,*
26 *Ltd.*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 174971, at *2 (D. Nev. Oct. 23, 2017) (staying discovery on an interim
27 basis pending resolution of a motion to stay discovery).

1 Accordingly, the motions for protective order are **DENIED** as moot.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 Dated: January 30, 2019



4 Nancy J. Koppe
5 United States Magistrate Judge

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28