

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10  
11 **BARTECH SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL,**  
12 **INC.,**

13 Plaintiff(s),

14 v.

15 **MOBILE SIMPLE SOLUTIONS, et al.,**

16 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:15-cv-02422-MMD-NJK

**Order**

[Docket No. 500]

17 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to seal. Docket No. 500. Plaintiff asks the  
18 Court to redact certain portions of its motion for leave to file a third amended complaint, as well  
19 as portions of Exhibits 3 and 4 to its motion. Docket No. 500 at 4. Plaintiff's reason for the  
20 requested redactions is that the documents at issue were produced by Defendant GEM under the  
21 terms of the parties' Amended Stipulated Protective Order. *Id.* The Court ordered Defendant  
22 GEM to file a response addressing whether the proposed redactions meet the appropriate sealing  
standards. Docket No. 507. Defendant GEM has complied.

23 Documents may be sealed or redacted upon a particularized showing of good cause. See  
24 *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Defendant GEM  
25 submits that the information in the proposed redactions has largely been disclosed and, therefore,  
26 submits that the redacted information can be properly filed on the public docket. Docket No. 509  
27 at 2-3. Accordingly, the motion to seal is **DENIED**. Docket No. 500. The Court **INSTRUCTS**  
28 the Clerk's Office to unseal the document at Docket No. 501.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge