Barceloux v	. The Light Group, LLC	Do	c. 7 3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
8			
9	DISTRICT	T NEVADA	
10	COLIN BARCELOUX,) Case No. 2:15-cv-02448-JAD-NJK	
11	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER	
12	VS.		
13	THE LIGHT GROUP, LLC, et al.,		
14	Defendant(s).		
15		_)	
16	Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan, Docket No. 72, which is hereby		
17	DENIED . First, it is not clear whether the parties agree to the dates proposed, as they appear in a		
18	section of dates requested by Plaintiff but a separate section for dates requested by Defendant is blank.		
19 20	See id. at 2-3. Second, the discovery plan indicates the parties have not discussed settlement. See id.		
21	at 4; <i>but see</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(2) (parties must discuss the potential for settlement). Third, the discovery plan omits the certifications required by Local Rules 26-1(b)(7)-(8). Accordingly, the parties		
22	are ORDERED to file a discovery plan that complies with the local rules by January 6, 2017.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	DATED: December 28, 2016		
25	1/2 /		
26	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge		
27			
28			
	II		i