| 1 | |
|--------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PRISCELLA SAINTAL,) Case No. 2:15-cv-02460-GMN-NJK |
| 12 | Plaintiff(s),) ORDER |
| 13 | VS. |
| 14 | MARIA PESCE, et al., |
| 15 | Defendant(s). |
| 16 | In civil rights cases brought by prisoners, the Court generally enters a scheduling order sua |
| 17 | sponte upon the defendants' appearance. Local Rule 16-1. In this case, Defendants have appeared by |
| 18 | filing a motion to dismiss. Docket No. 35. To the extent Defendants wish that discovery be stayed |
| 19 | pending resolution of the motion to dismiss and believe they can meet the standards for making such a |
| 20 | request, see, e.g., Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013), then |
| 21 | Defendants shall file a motion to stay discovery by June 8, 2017. Absent the filing of such a motion, |
| 22 | the Court will enter a scheduling order sua sponte. |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 24 | DATED: June 1, 2017 |
| 25 | NANCY J. KOPPE |
| 26 | United States Magistrate Judge |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Saintal v. Pesce et al

Doc. 37