

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DIONA WILSON,	)	Case No. 2:15-cv-02475-RCJ-NJK
	)	
Plaintiff(s),	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	(Docket No. 17)
THE SIGNATURE CONDOMINIUMS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	

Pending before the Court is the parties’ joint proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 17. Stipulations or unopposed motions requiring an order “shall include an ‘Order’ in the form of a signature block on which the Court . . . can endorse approval of the relief sought.” Local Rule 6-2(a). “This signature block shall not be on a separate page[.]” *Id.* The parties’ discovery plan includes an Order in the form of a signature block, but it is on a separate page. Docket No. 17 at 4. Therefore, the parties’ discovery plan is **DENIED** for failure to comply with Local Rule 6-2(a). The parties must file a new joint proposed discovery plan that complies in full with Local Rules 26-1 and 6-2(a), no later than April 13, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.  
DATED: April 11, 2016

\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge