

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

LEONARD L. CHATMAN,	Plaintiff(s),
v.	
LAS VEGAS METRO POLICE DEPT., et al.,	Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:16-CV-14 JCM (NJK)

ORDER

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation that plaintiff Leonard Chatman’s complaint against the Las Vegas Metro Police Department be denied. (ECF No. 6). No objections have been filed to the report and recommendation.

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party fails to object to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Reyna–Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review “any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”).

James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge

1 Here, the magistrate judge analyzed whether it is proper to dismiss the plaintiff's
2 complaint. (ECF No. 6).

3 First, the court has not received an amended complaint or any request to extend the deadline
4 for filing one. (Id.). The magistrate judge dismissed the complaint with leave to amend. (ECF
5 No. 2).

6 Second, the case is subject to dismissal because the plaintiff failed to update his address
7 with the court. (ECF Nos. 4, 5, 6); see also Local Special Rule 2-2.

8 In sum, Magistrate Judge Koppe concluded that the case be dismissed without prejudice.
9 (ECF No. 6). As mentioned, no timely objections have been filed in response to this report and
10 recommendation. Therefore, this court will adopt Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and
11 recommendation. See Reyna–Tapia, 328 F.3d at 1121.

12 Accordingly,

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and
14 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Koppe (ECF No. 6) be, and the same hereby are, ADOPTED
15 in their entirety.

16 The clerk shall close the case and enter judgment accordingly.

17 DATED June 14, 2017.

18 
19 _____
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28