

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CINDY SILVAGNI,	)	Case No. 2:16-cv-00039-JCM-NJK
Plaintiff(s),	)	ORDER
vs.	)	
WAL-MART STORES, INC.,	)	(Docket No. 21)
Defendant(s).	)	

Pending before the Court is a motion seeking two forms of relief. *See* Docket No. 21.<sup>1</sup> First, Plaintiff seeks an order from the Court allowing her to supplement her Rule 26 disclosures. The applicable rules do not require leave of Court to supplement disclosures. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1). Accordingly, this aspect of the motion is **DENIED**. Plaintiff should supplement her disclosures as appropriate and, if a dispute arises as to the propriety of those supplemental disclosures, Defendant may file a motion to exclude them or for other relief.<sup>2</sup>

Second, Plaintiff seeks an extension of the discovery cutoff. In light of the upcoming dispositive motion deadline, the Court accelerates the briefing scheduling on that aspect of the motion. A response

---

<sup>1</sup> As an initial matter, Plaintiff’s counsel violated the local rules by filing a single document seeking two forms of relief. *See, e.g.*, Local Rule IC 2-2(b) (“For each type of relief requested or purpose of the document, a separate document must be filed and a separate event must be selected for that document”).

<sup>2</sup> The Court herein expresses no opinion as to whether supplementation is appropriate.

1 to this portion of the motion shall be filed by September 13, 2016, and any reply shall be filed by  
2 September 14, 2016.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: September 8, 2016

5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 NANCY J. KOPPE  
8 United States Magistrate Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28