

1 judicial record of this case because she claims that it has hurt her real estate practice.⁶ The
2 motion is unopposed.

3 Discussion

4 A. Standard for sealing judicial records

5 “The public has a ‘general right to inspect and copy public records and documents
6 including judicial records and documents.’”⁷ “Although the common law right of access is not
7 absolute, ‘[courts] start with a strong presumption in favor of access to court records.’”⁸ “A
8 party seeking to seal judicial records can overcome the strong presumption of access by
9 providing ‘sufficiently compelling reasons’ that override the public policies favoring
10 disclosure.”⁹ “When ruling on a motion to seal court records, the district court must balance the
11 competing interests of the public and the party seeking to seal judicial records.”¹⁰ “To seal the
12 records, the district court must articulate a factual basis for each compelling reason to seal[,]
13 [which] must continue to exist to keep judicial records sealed.”¹¹

14 Saljougui’s request to seal the judicial record does not meet the “sufficiently compelling
15 reasons” standard. Saljougui’s argument that the entire case should be sealed because it has
16 harmed her as a real estate agent is not supported by any legal standard. Nor is it supported by
17 any details about how the case has harmed her besides “unfairly and negatively affect[ing] her
18
19

20 ⁶ ECF No. 134.

21 ⁷ *In re Midland Nat. Life Ins. Co. Annuity Sales Practices Litig.*, 686 F.3d 1115, 1119 (9th Cir. 2012) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns., Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 (1978)).

22 ⁸ *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. St. Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

23 ⁹ *Id.* (quoting *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1135).

¹⁰ *Id.* (citing *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006)).

¹¹ *Id.* (citing *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179; *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1136).

1 name.”¹² Saljougui’s conclusory allegation does not rise to the level of a “sufficiently
2 compelling reason” that would overcome the strong presumption of the public’s right to access
3 the court records. Because Saljougui has not met her burden, her broad request to seal this case
4 is denied.

5 **Conclusion**

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Saljougui’s motion to seal the entire case [ECF No.
7 134] is **DENIED without prejudice** to her ability to reurge her requests in a fully supported
8 motion.

9 Dated: June 10, 2020

10 

11 _____
U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

12 ECF No. 134.