LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 <i>Attorneys for Defendant</i>				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION				
9 10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION				
11	MARISSA S	KINNER, individually,	CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-00078-APG-NJK		
12		Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND		
	VS.		TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS		
13 14	GEICO CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, individually; and DOES I		(THIRD REQUEST)		
15	through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I				
16	Defendants.				
17					
18	Pursu	iant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, t	he parties, by and through their respective		
19	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and request that this court extend the deadline for				
20	dispositive n	notions. This is the third stipula	ation for extension of time to file motions. In		
21	support of th	is Stipulation and Request, the p	parties state as follows:		
22	1.	1. On December 2, 2015, Plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint in State Court.			
23	2.	2. On December 11, 2015, Plaintiff served the Amended Complaint on the			
24		Nevada Department of Business and Industry, Division of Insurance.			
25	3.	On January 13, 2016, Defenda	nt removed the matter to this Court.		
26	4.	4. On January 13, 2016, Defendant Answered the Amended Complaint.			
27	5.	5. On February 8, 2016, the parties conducted an initial 26(f) conference.			
28	111				
	4824-0226-1575.1				

1	6.	On February 10, 2016, the parties prepared and submitted a Stipulated	
2		Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (the "Order") for the Court's approval.	
3	7.	On February 11, 2016, the Court entered the Stipulated Order.	
4	8.	On February 22, 2016, Defendant served its Initial List of Witnesses and	
5		Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.	
6	9.	On March 1, 2016, Defendant propounded written discovery upon Plaintiff.	
7	10.	On March 2, 2016, Defendant served its Initial List of Witnesses and	
8		Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.	
9	11.	On March 16, 2016, Plaintiff propounded written discovery upon Defendant.	
10	12.	On April 5, 2016, Plaintiff responded to written discovery.	
11	13.	On April 15, 2016, Defendant took Plaintiff's deposition.	
12	14.	On April 25, 2016, Defendant responded to written discovery.	
13	15.	Both parties have served supplemental FRCP 26 disclosures	
14	16.	Defendant retained a records review and scheduled an FRCP 35	
15		examination which took place on August 18, 2016.	
16	17.	The parties disclosed expert witnesses.	
17	18.	Discovery closed on December 16, 2016.	
18	19.	After discovery closed the parties met and conferred regarding a discovery	
19		issue that arose after the close of discovery.	
20	20.	The parties agreed to re-open discovery for a period of 60 days to facilitate	
21		resolution of the claims on the merits.	
22	21.	The parties entered a stipulated confidentiality agreement and protective	
23		order and GEICO produced additional documents.	
24	22.	GEICO took the deposition of Plaintiff's expert, Paul Burkett, on March 31,	
25		2017.	
26	23.	Plaintiff took the deposition of GEICO's 30(b)(6) representative on April 4,	
27		2017.	
28	24.	Discovery closed on April 6, 2017.	
	4824-0226-1575.1	2	



1 DISCOVERY REMAINING

None.

2

3 ALL DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED

Discovery has been completed. However, the parties request an extension of the
dispositive motion deadline in order to allow summary judgment motions to be prepared
and timely served. This request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper
purpose or other purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the
purpose of allowing sufficient time to prepare motions for summary judgment in the hopes
of minimizing the issues at trial.

This is the third request for extension of the time to file motions.¹ The parties
recognize that they are requesting an extension of a deadline inside of the twenty-one
(21) day period as set forth in LR 26-4. As such, the parties must show good cause for
the deadline.

14 Discovery closed on April 7, 2016. Both Plaintiff and Defendant intend on filing 15 dispositive motions. However, Plaintiff's counsel has had a family emergency that will not 16 enable Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment to be filed by the deadline. This was not 17 in the reasonable control of the parties. Additionally, both parties are awaiting deposition transcript(s) which are necessary to properly support the motions. This extension request 18 19 is made in good faith, jointly by the parties, and not for the purposes of delay. Trial in this 20 matter has not yet been set. Moreover, since this request is a joint request, neither party 21 will be prejudiced.

- 22 1///
- 23 || / / /
- 24 || / / /
- 25
- 26

 ²⁷ Because the parties re-opened discovery this if the first request for an extension of time of the current deadline.
 28



1	WHEREFORE, the parties res	pectfully request that this Court extend the			
2	dispositive motion deadline, currently, May 5, 2017 by 28 days to June 2, 2017. This will				
3	also move the deadline for the Joint Pretrial Order which will be 30 days after the decision				
4	on dispositive motions.				
5	DATED this 21 st day of April, 2017.	DATED this 21 st day of April, 2017.			
6	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH	CLARK MCCOURT			
7					
8	/s/ Priscilla L. O'Briant	/s/ Lukas B. McCourt			
9	ROBERT W. FREEMAN, ESQ.	BRIAN P. CLARK, ESQ.			
10	Nevada Bar No. 003062 PRISCILLA L. O'BRIANT, ESQ.	Nevada Bar No. 04236 LUKAS B. MCCOURT, ESQ.			
11	Nevada Bar No. 10171	Nevada Bar No. 11839 7371 Prairie Falcon Road, Ste. 120			
12	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	Las Vegas, NV 89128			
13	Attorneys for Defendant	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
14	ORDER				
15					
16	Dated April 24, 2017.				
17					
18					
19					
20	United States Magistrate Judge				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	4824-0226-1575.1	4			

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW