1 2

3

45

6

7

9

v.

8

1011

13 14

12

1516

1718

19 20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

SPENCER NEAMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

1 1011111111

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00217-JCM-PAL

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the parties' failure to file a joint pretrial order as required by LR 26-1(b)(5). The last Order (ECF No. 39) entered May 4, 2017, granted the parties' request to extend filing the joint pretrial to June 16, 2017. Although there is a fully briefed Motion to Strike (ECF No. 49) on file, there are no dispositive motions pending.

On July 26, 2017, the plaintiff filed a "Motion to Alter Traditional Court Practice and Schedule this Case for Trial" (ECF No 47). The court has considered the motion, the Response (ECF No 51), and Reply (ECF No 53), and finds the pending motion to strike is not a basis for further delaying the requirement for filing the joint pretrial order. The court will therefore grant the motion to the extent a deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be set. Once submitted, the district judge will set the matter for trial consistent with his calendar and as he deems appropriate.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Counsel for the parties shall file a joint pretrial order which fully complies with the requirements of LR 16-3 and LR 16-4 no later than **October 18, 2017**. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto shall be included in the pretrial order.

3. Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 47) is **GRANTED** to the limited extent the court has now established a deadline for filing the joint pretrial order, notwithstanding the pending motion to strike. Once the joint pretrial order is filed the district judge will decide when to set the matter for trial

DATED this 25th day of September, 2017.

PEGGY AZEEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE