UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT	Γ OF NEVADA
NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,) Case No. 2:16-cv-00268-JAD-NJK
Plaintiff(s),) ORDER
VS.) (Docket Nos. 36, 37)
SHENANDOAH OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., et al.,	
Defendant(s).)
Pending before the Court is SFR's motion	n for an extension of time to serve Daniel L. Valv

Pending before the Court is SFR's motion for an extension of time to serve Daniel L. Valvo, and motion for leave to serve him by publication. Docket Nos. 36, 37. "Service by publication implicates a defendant's fundamental due process rights." *E.g., Christiana Trust v. 9796 Mount Cupertino Trust,* 2016 U.S. Dist. Lexis 30984, *2 (D. Nev. 9, 2016) (citing *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 314-15 (1950); *Price v. Dunn*, 787 P.2d 785, 787 (Nev. 1990)). As a result, service by publication is generally disfavored. *See, e.g., id.* Not surprisingly, courts refuse to grant such relief based on motions that fail to present fully developed arguments supported by case law. *See, e.g., Trustees of the Nev. Resort Ass'n v. Alumifax, Inc.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 106456, *2 (D. Nev. July 29, 2013).

The pending motion provides no legal authority of any kind, nor any meaningful analysis, as to why the deadline should be extended to effectuate service. With respect to the request for leave to serve by publication, the pending motion provides block quotations to various rules but provides no citation

1	of any kind to applicable case law, no clear articulation of the applicable standards, and no meaningful
2	discussion of how those standards are met.
3	Accordingly, the pending motions are DENIED without prejudice so that SFR may present fully
4	developed arguments.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED: August 3, 2016
7	
8	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge
9	United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
10	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2