

1 redacted copies of documents that contain personal-data identifiers as well as unredacted copies
2 of the documents under seal. Under Rule 5.2(h), “[a] person waives the protection of 5.2(a) as to
3 the person’s own information by filing it without redaction and not under seal.”

4 Here, it appears that the Clerk of Court sealed Plaintiff’s amended claim to protect her
5 privacy because it contains her social security number and other personal identifying information.
6 Given that a person may waive the protection of Rule 5.2(a) as to their own information and that
7 Plaintiff requests her amended claim to be unsealed, the court will grant Plaintiff’s motion to the
8 extent that she requests her amended claim be unsealed. Given that Plaintiff does not provide any
9 legal authority indicating that she is entitled to monetary damages because her amended claim was
10 sealed, the court will deny Plaintiff’s motion to the extent that she requests monetary damages. *See*
11 LR 7-2(d) (stating that “[t]he failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in support of
12 the motion constitutes a consent to the denial of the motion.”) Plaintiff is advised that if she would
13 like her personal identifying information to be protected, she should file a redacted version of her
14 amended claim in accordance with Rule 5.2 and Local Rule IC 6-1.

15 Additionally, the court notes that Plaintiff has filed various notices with the court, some of
16 which appear to be seeking action by the court. (*See* ECF Nos. 20-35.) When a document is
17 electronically filed using the “notice” event, it does not trigger the electronic filing system to mark
18 the document as an action item for the court. If Plaintiff seeks relief from the court, she is advised
19 to style her requests for relief as motions, which will be automatically marked by the electronic
20 filing system as action items. When a request is styled as a motion, it also triggers the opposing
21 party’s response deadline and the movant’s reply deadline, thereby giving all parties the
22 opportunity to be heard before the court decides the motion. Although the court will liberally
23 construe Plaintiff’s filings given that she is not represented by an attorney, Plaintiff nevertheless is
24 required to follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants. *See Ghazali v. Moran*,
25 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995). Given that Plaintiff is registered as an electronic filer, she must file
26 all documents electronically as set forth in the court’s local rules. *See* LR IC 2-1(a). Plaintiff
27 therefore is advised that the court will not take further action with respect to the documents filed at
28 ECF Nos. 20-35 unless they are re-filed as motions.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Notice: Unseal Claim and All Records
2 (ECF No. 37) , construed by the court as a motion to unseal, is GRANTED to the extent that
3 Plaintiff requests that her amended claim (ECF No. 19) be unsealed. The motion is DENIED in all
4 other respects.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court must unseal Plaintiff's amended claim
6 (ECF No. 19).

7
8 DATED: October 17, 2016

9
10 
11 _____
12 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**
13 **United States Magistrate Judge**
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28