1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	* * *	
8	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-00405-KJD-PAL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER
10	V.	
11	DESERT SHORES COMMUNITY	
12	ASSOCIATION, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	I. Background and Analysis	
16	This case emerges from the non-judicial foreclosure sale by Defendant Diamond Point	
17	Homeowners Association on or about July 27, 2012 of the property located at 1204 E. Hammer	
18	Lane, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89081 ("the Property"). This case shares a similar fact pattern	
19	with many cases currently pending before this Court, all having to do with HOA foreclosure	
20	sales. One of the issues before the Court centers in whole or in part around the question of what	
21	notice of default the foreclosing party was required to provide Plaintiff prior to its foreclosure	
22	sale on the Property. After the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v.	
23	U.S. Bank, the Ninth Circuit decided Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832	
24	F.3d 1154, 1160 (9th Cir. 2016) (holding NRS 115.3116(2)'s statutory notice scheme was	
25	facially unconstitutional).	
26	On April 21, 2017, in Bank of New York Mellon v. Star Hills Homeowners Ass'n, this	
27	Court certified the following question to the Nevada Supreme Court: "Whether NRS §	
28	116.31168(1)'s incorporation of NRS § 107.090 requires homeowners associations to provide	

notices of default to banks even when a bank does not request notice?" Bank of New York 1 2 Mellon v. Star Hill Homeowners Ass'n, 2017 WL 1439671, at *5 (D. Nev. April 21, 2017). 3 In granting certification, the Court reasoned the following: In Bourne Valley, the Ninth 4 Circuit definitively answered the question that the statute's "opt-in" framework was 5 unconstitutional. Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832 F.3d 1154, 1160 (9th 6 Cir. 2016). However, that left the Court with the unresolved question of what notice must be 7 provided. "It is solely within the province of the state courts to authoritatively construe state legislation." Cal. Teachers Ass'n v. State Bd. of Educ., 271 F.3d 1141, 1146 (9th Cir. 2001). As 8 9 such, state law questions of first impression like this one should be resolved by the state's 10 highest court. See Huddleston v. Dwyer, 322 U.S. 232, 237 (1944). 11 On August 2, 2018, the Supreme Court of Nevada answered the certified question. See 12 SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon, 422 P.3d 1248 (Nev. 2018). Further, it has 13 since issued two new opinions that bear on the issues in this action. See Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 14 v. Tim Radecki, 2018 WL 4402403 (Nev. September 13, 2018); Bank of America, N.A. v. SFR 15 Invs. Pool 1, LLC, 2018 WL 4403296 (Nev. September 13, 2018) (tender of the superpriority 16 amount prior to foreclosure results in buyer taking property subject to deed of trust). 17 Also, since the action was stayed, opinions in several cases have directly addressed the 18 Federal Foreclosure Bar issues in this action. See Berezovsky v. Moniz, 869 F.3d 923 (9th Cir. 19 2017); Elmer v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., 707 Fed. Appx. 426 (9th Cir. 2017); Nationstar Mortg., 20 LLC v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, 396 P.3d 754 (Nev. 2017). 21 A. Stay of the Case 22 A district court has the inherent power to stay cases to control its docket and promote the 23 efficient use of judicial resources. Landis v. North Am. Co., 299 U.S., 248, 254-55 (1936); 24 Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co., 498 F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir. 200). A 25 stay is no longer necessary in this action where the certified question has already been decided. 26 **<u>B. Briefing Schedule</u>** 27 The parties may either file a stipulation or move the Court for a modified discovery plan 28 and scheduling order as necessary. If the parties fail to do so, dispositive motions are due no later

- 2 -

1	than forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order. Any future dispositive motions must	
2	address the most recent case law applicable to the issues in this action.	
3	II. Conclusion	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the STAY in this action is LIFTED;	
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that stipulations, motions to modify the discovery plan and	
6	scheduling order, or in the absence of such stipulations or motions, dispositive motions are due	
7	within forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order.	
8	Dated this 22nd day of October, 2018.	
9 10	bera	
11	Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		