Desert Pala	ce, Inc. v. Michael	Γ	Ooc. 107
1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6			
7	DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE,		
8	Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:16-cv-00462-JAD-GWF	
9	vs.	ORDER	
10	ANDREW P. MICHAEL,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	This matter is before the Court on the parties' Stipulation to Amend Discovery Plan and		
14	Scheduling Order (ECF No. 106), filed on September 7, 2017.		
15	Despite footnote one in the parties' stipulation, the Court is unclear whether the parties wish		
16	to extend the discovery deadlines and still litigate Defendant's motion to stay. If the parties still wish		
17	to litigate the motion to stay, the Court will rule on that motion and then, based on that ruling, the		
18	Court will determine what, if any, extension of discovery is appropriate. Accordingly,		
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' Stipulation to Amend Discovery Plan and		
20	Scheduling Order (ECF No. 106) is denied , without prejudice.		
21	DATED this 8th day of September, 2017.		
22		400	
23	GEORGE POLEY, JR.		
24	United	d States Magistrate Judge	
25			
26			
27			
28			