Desert Palac	ce, Inc. v. Michael II	Doc. 5
1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE,	}
8	Plaintiff,	) Case No. 2:16-cy-00462-JAD-GWF
9	vs.	ORDER
10	ANDREW P. MICHAEL,	
11	Defendant.	
12		_j
13	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Its Opposition to	
14	Defendant's Motion to Continue Filing Deadlines and Hearing Under Seal (ECF No. 52), filed on	
15	January 13, 2017. Plaintiff asserts that its opposition "cites and quotes from documents that the	
16	parties have identified as containing sensitive business customer information involving the	
17	Defendant" pursuant to the stipulated protective order. <i>Motion</i> (ECF No. 52), pgs. 1:27-2:1. Plaintiff	
18	further asserts that it has narrowly tailored its redactions to seal only the sensitive information. <i>Id.</i> at	
19	pg. 2. Based on Plaintiff's representations and the Court's review of the sealed opposition, the Court	
20	finds good cause to grant Plaintiff's request. Accordingly,	
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Its Opposition to	
22	Defendant's Motion to Continue Filing Deadlines and Hearing Under Seal (ECF No. 52) is <b>granted</b> .	
23	DATED this 19th day of January, 2017.	
24	y yp	
25	GEORGE FOLEY, JR	
26	United States Magistrate Judge	
27		
28		