

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al., Plaintiff(s), v. MESA VERDE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Case No. 2:16-CV-498 JCM (NJK) ORDER
---	---

Presently before the court is defendant SFR Investments' motion demanding security for costs from plaintiff Bank of America. (Doc. #6). Plaintiff has not filed a response. Defendant requests that the plaintiff be required to submit security for costs in the amount of \$500 pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat 18.130.

The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require plaintiffs to post security for costs." *Simulnet E. Associates v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). A federal district court typically follows the forum state's practice, particularly when a party is a non-resident. See, e.g., § 2671 Security for Costs, 10 Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 2671 (3d ed.). Nev. Rev. Stat.18.130 provides that the court may require an out-of-state plaintiff to post a security of costs in an amount up to \$500 upon a request by a defendant.

The court finds that it is appropriate to require that plaintiff post a security bond of \$500 in this matter.


Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED that defendant's motion demanding security of costs (doc. #6) be, and at the same time hereby are, GRANTED.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall post a security bond in the amount of \$500 within 14 days of the entry of this order.

DATED April 14, 2016.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE