Ramirez v. Walgreen Co.		Doc. 14
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	MARIA RAMIREZ,)
10	Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 2:16-cv-00506-APG-NJK
11	VS.) ORDER
12	WALGREEN CO.,) (Docket No. 12)
13	Defendant(s).) (Docket 140, 12)
14		3
15	Pending before the Court is a discovery plan, Docket No. 12, which is hereby DENIED . The	
16	presumptively reasonable discovery period is 180 days from the date of the first defendant's appearance.	
17	See Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The parties seek additional time without providing any showing of good	
18	cause for that extension. <i>Cf.</i> Local Rule 26-1(d) (requiring a statement of why a longer period is sought).	
19	In addition, the parties misstate the timing requirements for requesting extensions pursuant to Local Rule	
20	26-4, which requires that any request to extend deadlines set forth in the scheduling order must be	
21	submitted at least 21 days <u>before the subject deadline</u> . For example, any request to extend the deadline	
22	for initial expert disclosures must be filed at least 21 days before the expiration of <u>that deadline</u> . Such	
23	a request filed only 29 days before the discovery cut-off would be untimely. The parties shall file an	
24	amended discovery plan in compliance with the local rules no later than April 26, 2016.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: April 22, 2016	
27	NANCY J. KOPPE	
28	United States Magistrate Judge	