deep-seated favortism or antagonism that would make fair judgment impossible." Id. at 555.

Plaintiff asserts that the undersigned's act in granting Defendant's request for a 30-day extension to the dispositive motion deadline on the day it was filed without a response from Plaintiff is "another sign of [undersigned's] incompetence." Docket No. 40 at 2. Unhappiness with a judge's rulings is not ground for recusal. *See United States v. Studley*, 783 F.2d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 1986) ("a judge's prior adverse ruling is not sufficient cause for recusal").

Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for the undersigned to recuse, Docket No. 40, is **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 1, 2018.

NANCY J. KOPPE

United States Magistrate Judge