

AKERMAN, LLP

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144

1 MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8215
2 TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12488
3 AKERMAN LLP
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330
4 Las Vegas, NV 89144
Telephone: (702) 634-5000
5 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572
Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com
6 tenesa.scaturro@akerman.com

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Bank of America, N.A.

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY
MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS
11 SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE
HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP,

12
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 SPANISH BAY HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION; NEVADA ASSOCIATION
16 SERVICES, INC.; SFR INVESTMENTS
POOL 1, LLC,

17 Defendants.

18 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada
19 limited liability company,

20 Counterclaimant,

21 v.

22 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., BANK OF
AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER
23 TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP
FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS
24 SERVICING, LP; and JAMES CARLSON, an
25 individual,

26 Counterdefendants.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-00691-MMD-VCF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER STAYING
CASE**

27
28 {41089073;1}

1 Plaintiff Bank of America N.A. (**BANA**), by and through its attorneys of record, Akerman
2 LLP; defendant Spanish Bay Homeowners Association, by and through its counsel Leach Johnson
3 Song & Gruchow; defendant Nevada Association Services Inc.; and defendant SFR Investments
4 Pool 1 LLC (**SFR**), by and through its counsel Kim Gilbert Ebron, hereby stipulate as follows:

5 1. This lawsuit involves the parties seeking quiet title/declaratory relief and other claims
6 related to a non-judicial homeowner's association foreclosure sale conducted on a Property pursuant
7 to NRS 116.

8 2. On August 12, 2016, the Ninth Circuit issued its decision on appeal in Bourne Valley
9 Court Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 832 F.3d 1154, 1159-60 (9th Cir. 2016) holding that NRS 116
10 is facially unconstitutional. The Court of Appeals issued its mandate in the appeal on December 14,
11 2016, vacating and remanding the judgment to the United States District Court, District of Nevada.

12 3. On January 26, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its decision in Saticoy Bay
13 LLC Series 350 Durango 104 v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, a Div. of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,
14 133 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, ___ P.3d ___, 2017 WL 398426 (Nev. Jan. 26, 2017), holding, in direct
15 contrast to Bourne Valley, that no state action supported a challenge under the Due Process Clause of
16 the United States Constitution, and that a homeowner association's assessment lien foreclosure sale
17 pursuant to NRS Chapter 116 does not constitute a takings in violation of the Supremacy Clause of
18 the United States Constitution.

19 4. The parties in Bourne Valley and Saticoy Bay are seeking review of both decisions in
20 the United States Supreme Court. Bourne Valley's deadline to file its petition for writ of certiorari of
21 the Ninth Circuit's Bourne Valley decision is April 3, 2017. See Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells
22 Fargo Bank, NA., United States Supreme Court Case No. 16A753. Wells Fargo's deadline to file its
23 petition for writ of certiorari of the Nevada Supreme Court's Saticoy Bay decision is April 25, 2017.
24 Thus, the parties believe that the stay requested herein is appropriate.

25 5. On February 8, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court stayed the issuance of the remittitur
26 in Saticoy Bay pending the filing of a petition for a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme
27 Court, and if a petition is filed, the stay of the remittitur will remain in effect until final disposition
28 of the certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

1 6. Since then, several judges in this district have stayed similar cases pending the
2 exhaustion of all appeals before the United States Supreme Court. E.g., Nationstar Mtg. LLC v.
3 Green Valley S. Owners Assoc., No. 2:16-cv-00883-GMN-GWF; Bank of America, N.A. v. Canyon
4 Willow Trop Owners' Assoc., No. 2:16-cv-01327-GMN-VCF (D. Nev. Oct. 26, 2016); Deutsche
5 Bank Nat'l Trust Co. v. Copper Sands HOA, No. 2:16-cv-00763-JAD-CWH (D. Nev. Feb. 28, 2017).

6 7. To determine if a continued stay is appropriate, the Court considers (1) damage from
7 the stay; (2) hardship or inequity that befalls one party more than the other; and (3) the orderly
8 course of justice. See Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co., 498 F.3d 1059, 1066
9 (9th Cir. 2007) (setting forth factors). Here, the factors support a stay of litigation.

10 a. Damage from Stay: Any damage from a temporary stay in this case will be minimal
11 if balanced against the potential fees, costs, and time which would surely ensue in this matter if
12 litigation were allowed to continue that could be mooted by a decision in Bourne Valley certiorari
13 proceedings. Indeed, the parties will be able to avoid the cost and expense of continued legal
14 proceedings in light of what is unsettled law to say the least. Moreover, the Court will be relieved of
15 expending further time and effort until the conflict between the circuit and Nevada Supreme Court is
16 resolved. Thus, a stay will benefit all parties involved herein.

17 b. Hardship or Inequity: The parties agree that any hardship or inequity falling on any
18 of them is outweighed by the benefits of a stay.

19 c. Orderly Course of Justice: At the center of this case is a homeowners' association's
20 foreclosure sale under NRS 116. The outcome of the petitions for writ in Bourne Valley and/or
21 Saticoy Bay have the potential to affirm or overturn either case. Without a stay, the parties will
22 expend resources that will be unnecessary if either or both petitions are granted. A stay would also
23 avoid a likely appeal from any subsequent judgment in this case. A temporary stay would
24 substantially promote the orderly course of justice in this case. A stay will avoid the need for
25 moving forward without final resolution of the federal issues and the state court/federal court
26 conflict.

27 8. The parties agree that all proceedings in the instant case, including motion and other
28 litigation deadlines, are stayed pending final resolution of the Bourne Valley and/or Saticoy Bay

1 certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

2 9. Defendant SFR shall be required to keep current on all property taxes and
3 assessments, HOA dues, and reasonably insure and maintain the property for the duration of the stay.
4 Upon reasonable notice, Plaintiff BANA may demand proof SFR is current on these obligations.

5 10. Defendant SFR shall be prohibited from selling or encumbering the property unless
6 otherwise ordered by the Court.

7 11. Plaintiff BANA is prohibited from conducting foreclosure proceedings on the
8 property unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

9 12. Any party may file a written motion to lift stay at any time for any reason the party
10 determines to be appropriate.

11 13. Pending review and approval of this stipulation by the Court, any deadlines for
12 currently pending motions are suspended. If this stipulation is not approved by the Court, any
13 responses, oppositions, and/or reply briefs on pending motions will be due thirty (30) days from
14 entry of the Court’s order. If this stipulation is granted, all pending motions shall be deemed
15 withdrawn and may be re-filed upon expiration of the stay if appropriate.

16 /
17 /
18 /
19 /
20 /
21 /
22 /
23 /
24 /
25 /
26 /
27 /
28 /

1 14. The parties shall, within 45 days of final resolution of all Bourne Valley and/or
2 Saticoy Bay proceedings before the United States Supreme Court, submit a joint status report and
3 renewed discovery plan and scheduling order for the Court’s approval pursuant to local rules.

4 DATED this 16th day of March, 2017.

5 **AKERMAN LLP**

KIM GILBERT EBRON

6
7 By: /s/ Tenesa S. Scaturro
8 MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.
9 Nevada Bar No. 8215
10 TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ.
11 Nevada Bar No. 12488
12 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

By: /s/ Diana Cline Ebron
DIANA CLINE EBRON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10580
JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10593
KAREN L. HANKS
Nevada Bar No. 9578
7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89139

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Bank of America
15 N.A.

Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1 LLC

16 **NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES,
17 INC.**

LEACH JOHNSON SONG & GRUCHOW

18 By: /s/ Christopher V. Yergensen
19 CHRISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ.
20 Nevada Bar No. 6183
21 6224 West Desert Inn Road
22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

By: /s/ Ryan W. Reed
SEAN L. ANDERSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7259
RYAN W. REED, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 11695
8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 330
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

23 Attorneys for Nevada Association
24 Services, Inc.

Attorneys for Spanish Bay Homeowners
Association

25 **ORDER**

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

March 16, 2017

DATED