1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6	* * *		
7	DRETTINE, marvidually and on behalf of all Case 1	Io. 2:16-cv-00702-RFB-DJA	
8		ORDER	
9			
10			
11			
12	2 Defendant(s).		
13	Defens the Court is Defendent's Metion to Dreak to Discharge (ECE No. (2) Defendent		
14	Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Preclude Disclosure [ECF No. 63]. Defendant		
15	argues that the Court should not prevent discovery of putative plaintiffs who may be subject to an		
16	arbitration agreement which would prevent their participation in any collective action in this case.		
17	Defendant also argues that such information is confidential and its production would raise privacy		
18	issues. Plaintiff argues that the Court has yet to determine the effect or not of the alleged arbitration		
19	agreement on these putative class members. Plaintiff further argues that information regarding		
20			
21	these so-called arbitration plaintiffs would nonetheless be relevant to Plaintiff's theory of liability		
22	as to the scheme employed by the Defendant regarding alleged violations of federal law.		
23	The Court finds that discovery shall proceed and apply to all 46 individuals. The Court		
24	finds that their employment records are relevant and necessary to Plaintiff's case. The Court does		
25	not find that such production would be overly burdensome in this case. The Court further notes		
26			
27		that it has not ruled about the effect of the alleged arbitration clause in this case and whether or not	
28	it would preclude participation in a collective action in this case. Finally, the Court notes that issues		

1	of privacy can be addressed by a protective order that prevents Plaintiff from publicly disclosing		
2	the confidential information shared in discovery regarding these 46 individuals.		
3	Accordingly,		
4			
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Preclude Disclosure is		
6	[ECF No. 63] is DENIED. The parties are ordered to submit a revised scheduling order within 14		
7	days of this order.		
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall not publicly disclose any of the		
9	confidential information produced by Defendant in this case regarding the aforementioned 46		
10	individuals.		
11			
12	DATED: September 26, 2019.		
13	TS I		
14	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			