Case 2:16-cv-00705-JCM-CWH Document 80 Filed 03/13/23 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- A. THAT, the grounds for default are clearly established and the Clerk of Court properly entered a default against the Counter-Defendant.
 - B. THAT entry of Default Judgment is Warranted under Rule 55(b)(2).
- C. THAT Counter-Claimant has satisfied the Eitel factors as outlined in their Application for Default Judgment; (1) the possibility of prejudice to the plaintiff; (2) the merits of the claim; (3) the sufficiency of the complaint; (4) the amount of money at stake; (5) the possibility of a dispute concerning material facts; (6) whether default was due to excusable neglect, and (7) the policy favoring a decision on the merits. Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1471-72.
 - D. THAT the Counter-Defendant is entitled to a monetary damage award in the amount of Five Hundred Ninety Thousand, Three Hundred Nineteen Dollars and Eighty-Six Cents (\$590,319.86).
- E. THAT the Counter-Defendant is entitled to an award of attorney's fees in the amount to be determined by further motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED March13, 2023.

Hon. James C. Mahan United States District Judge

uns C. Mahan

Order– 2