

1 Tyler R. Andrews (NV State Bar No. 9499)
 andrewst@gtlaw.com
 2 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
 3 Las Vegas, NV 89135
 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
 4 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
Co-Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant

5 Rob L. Phillips (NV State Bar No. 175354)
 rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com
 6 FISHER BROYLES
 5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1800
 7 Los Angeles, CA 90036
 8 *Co-Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant*

9
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 INAG, INC., a Nevada corporation,

13 and

14 MARK H. JONES and SHERYLE L.
 15 JONES as Trustees of the Mark
 Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones
 16 Family Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013,

17 Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

18 v.

19 RICHAR, INC., a Nevada corporation,

20 Defendant/Counterclaimant.
 21

Case No.: 2:16-cv-00722-RFB-EJY

[Consolidated with Case No. 2:16-cv-01282-
 RCJ-CWH]

[Assigned to Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II]

**DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIMANT,
 RICHAR, INC.'S STIPULATION FOR
 EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH
 TO FILE ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 [ECF NO. 116]**

FIRST REQUEST

22
 23 Defendant/Counterclaimant (“Richar”) and Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants INAG, Inc. and
 24 Mark H. Jones and Sheryle L. Jones as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn
 25 Jones Family Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013 (collectively “INAG”), by and through their
 26 attorneys, and pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to a seven (7) day extension of time
 27

1 for Richar to file its Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement
2 and Invalidity (ECF No. 116 “Motion”).

3 Richar filed its Motion on January 13, 2021 (ECF No. 116). Per Order dated January 16,
4 2021 (ECF No. 119), Plaintiffs filed their response on February 10, 2021 (ECF No. 120). Richar’s
5 current Reply would be due on February 24, 2021. The extension sets a new response deadline
6 one week later, on March 3, 2021.

7 This is the first stipulation and requested Order regarding an extension of time to submit
8 its Reply in support of the Motion. The extension is necessary due to certain conflicting deadlines
9 and commitments of Richar’s counsel. The parties’ request is brought for the good cause shown
10 and is not sought for purpose of delay.

11 **IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.**

12 DATED: February 23, 2021

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

13

14

By: /s/ Tyler R. Andrews
Tyler R. Andrews
Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant

15

16

17 DATED: February 23, 2021

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

18

19

By: /s/ Franklin M. Smith
Franklin M. Smith
Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants

20

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 DATED: February 24, 2021.

23

24



Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II
United States District Judge

25

26

27

28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on February 23, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was
3 filed and served via the United States District Court’s ECF System to the person listed below:

4 Rob Phillips, Esq. rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com

5 John L. Krieger , Esq. jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com, kcooper@dickinson-wright.com,
6 kkoehm@dickinson-wright.com, lstewart@dickinson-wright.com

7 John S. Artz, Esq. jsartz@dickinsonwright.com

8 Franklin M. Smith, Esq. FSmith@dickinson-wright.com

9 Ariana D. Pellegrino, Esq. APellegrino@dickinsonwright.com

10 */s/ Tyler R. Andrews*

11 _____
12 An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP