

1 TYLER R. ANDREWS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9499
2 andrewst@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
3 10845 Griffith Peak Drive
Suite 600
4 Las Vegas, NV 89135
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
5 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant
6
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 INAG, INC., a Nevada corporation,

12 and

13 MARK H. JONES and SHERYLE L.
14 JONES as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton
Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones Family
15 Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013,

16 Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

17 v.

18 RICAR, INC., a Nevada corporation,

19
20 Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-00722-RFB-EJY

[Consolidated with Case No. 2:16-cv-01282-
RCJ-CWH]

[Assigned to Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II]

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND THE MOTION IN LIMINE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

21 Defendant Richar, Inc. (“Richar”) and Plaintiffs, INAG, Inc. and Mark H. Jones and
22 Sheryle L. Jones as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones Family Trust
23 U/A/D November 7, 2013 (collectively, “INAG”), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate
24 to an additional one-week extension of the briefing deadlines previously entered by this Court on
25 November 5, 2021 (ECF Docket No. 133).

26 The current scheduling order sets the briefing as follows:
27
28

1 1. Richar’s Responses and/or Objections to Plaintiff’s Motions in Limine No. 1 (ECF
2 Docket No. 138), No. 2 (ECF Docket No. 139), No. 3 (ECF Docket No. 140) and
3 No. 4 (ECF Docket No. 141) are due February 11, 2022;

4 2. INAG’s Replies are due February 18, 2022.

5 Due to an unmovable scheduling conflict, Richar respectfully requests a one-week
6 extension of time to respond to INAG’s Motions in Limine.

7 The Parties have discussed the schedule and subsequent briefing deadlines, and agreed
8 upon the following:

- 9 • Richar’s Responses and/or Objections will now be due **February 18, 2022**; and
- 10 • INAG’s Replies will now be due **February 25, 2022**.

11 The parties’ request is brought for the good cause shown and is not sought for purposes of
12 delay.

13 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

14 DATED: February 8, 2022 GREENBERG TRAUERIG, LLP

15

16 By: /s/ Tyler R. Andrews
 17 Tyler R. Andrews
 Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant


18 DATED: February 8, 2022 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

19

20 By: /s/ Ariana F. Pellegrino
 21 Ariana F. Pellegrino
 Counsel for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.
23 DATED: _____

24

25 
 26 **RICHARD E. BOULWARE, II**
United States District Court

27 DATED this 9th day of February, 2022.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 8, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed and served via the United States District Court’s ECF System to the persons listed below:

Rob Phillips, Esq.	rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com
John L. Krieger , Esq.	jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com, kcooper@dickinson-wright.com, kkoehm@dickinson-wright.com, lstewart@dickinson-wright.com
John S. Artz, Esq.	jsartz@dickinsonwright.com
Franklin M. Smith, Esq.	FSmith@dickinson-wright.com
Ariana F. Pellegrino, Esq.	APellegrino@dickinsonwright.com

/s/ Tyler R. Andrews

An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP