| INAG, Inc. et | t al v. Richar, LLC I | | Doc. 18 |
|---------------|---|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 5 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | INAG, INC., et al., | | |
| 8 | Plaintiffs, |) Case No. 2:16-cv-00722-RFB-GWF | |
| 9 | VS. | ORDER | |
| 10 | RICHAR, INC., et al., | | |
| 11 | Defendants. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | This matter is before the Court on the parties' failure to file a proposed Stipulated Discovery | | |
| 14 | Plan and Scheduling Order. The Amended Complaint (ECF No. 5) in this matter was filed on June | | |
| 15 | 27, 2016. Defendant filed its Answer (ECF No. 13) on August 16, 2016. Pursuant to LR 26-1, the | | |
| 16 | parties were required to meet and/or confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) within 30 days after | | |
| 17 | the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared, and 14 days thereafter to file a mandatory | | |
| 18 | stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order. To date, the parties have not complied. | | |
| 19 | Accordingly, | | |
| 20 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall file a stipulated Discovery Plan and | | |
| 21 | Scheduling Order not later than October 14, 2016 in compliance with the provisions of LR 26-1 of | | |
| 22 | the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. | | |
| 23 | DATED this 7th day of October, 2016. | | |
| 24 | | u un | |
| 25 | CE | George Foley Jr. | |
| 26 | Uni | ited States Magistrate Judge | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |